

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR

)

In the Matter of:

Double M Properties,

Docket No. CWA-06-2022-1772

Respondent.

ORDER ON COMPLAINANT'S SECOND MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF PREHEARING ORDER DEADLINES

This proceeding was initiated on July 7, 2022, with the filing of an Administrative Complaint by the Complainant, the Director of the Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Division of Region 6 of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("Agency") against Respondent, Double M Properties, pursuant to Section 309(g) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g). Respondent subsequently filed an Answer to Administrative Complaint, in which Respondent denied the violations alleged in the Administrative Complaint and requested a hearing. After I was designated to preside over the proceeding, I issued a Prehearing Order setting deadlines for a number of prehearing procedures, including the filing of a Status Report by Complainant, a Preliminary Statement by each party, a fully-executed Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO") if the parties achieved settlement of this matter, and a prehearing exchange of information by each party if settlement was not achieved in the meantime.

On September 9, 2022, Complainant timely filed a Preliminary Statement, as well as a combined Status Report and Motion for Extension of Prehearing Order Deadlines, in which Complainant requested a 45-day extension of the prehearing exchange deadlines set forth in the Prehearing Order on account of the parties' efforts to resolve this matter. I granted the unopposed request by Order dated September 16, 2022.

Complainant subsequently filed a second Motion for Extension of Prehearing Order Deadlines ("Motion") on November 3, 2022. Therein, Complainant represents that the parties are "very close to reaching a settlement in principle and are in the process of drafting language for a Consent Agreement and Final Order." Motion at physical page 1. Complainant then requests a 60-day extension of the deadlines set forth in the Order of September 16 because of the amount of time that Complainant expects to need to complete the concurrence process for the parties' CAFO, a process that, according to Complainant, may be impacted by the upcoming holidays. Complainant represents that Respondent does not object to the requested extension.

This matter is governed by the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits ("Rules of Practice") set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 22. The Rules of Practice provide that I "may grant an extension of time for filing any document: upon timely motion of a party to the proceeding, for good cause shown, and after consideration of prejudice to other parties; or upon its own initiative." 40 C.F.R. § 22.7(b).

Here, Complainant's Motion was timely and shows good cause. As reflected in the Rules of Practice, Agency policy supports settlement of a proceeding without the necessity of a formal hearing. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(1). Undoubtedly, the interests of the parties and judicial economy are well served by the parties resolving this matter informally and expeditiously. Accordingly, the Motion is hereby **<u>GRANTED</u>**. As requested, a fully-executed CAFO shall now be filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk on or before **January 13, 2023**, with a courtesy copy filed with the Headquarters Hearing Clerk. If the parties are unable to achieve settlement by that date, they shall file their prehearing exchanges pursuant to the following schedule:

| January 13, 2023 | Complainant's Initial Prehearing Exchange |
|-------------------|--|
| February 3, 2023 | Respondent's Prehearing Exchange |
| February 17, 2023 | Complainant's Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange |

SO ORDERED.

Chinter Doulion Coyfer

Christine Donelian Coughlin Administrative Law Judge

Dated: November 7, 2022 Washington, D.C. In the Matter of *Double M Properties*, Respondent. Docket No. CWA-06-2022-1772

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **Order on Complainant's Second Motion for Extension of Prehearing Order Deadlines**, dated November 7, 2022, and issued by Administrative Law Judge Christine Donelian Coughlin, was sent this day to the following parties in the manner indicated below.

Mary Angeles Paralegal Specialist

Original by OALJ E-Filing System to: Mary Angeles, Headquarters Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Administrative Law Judges https://yosemite.epa.gov/OA/EAB/EAB-ALJ_Upload.nsf

<u>Copy by Electronic Mail to</u>: Efren Ordóñez U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 Email: ordonez.efren@epa.gov *Counsel for Complainant*

Copy by Electronic and Regular Mail to: Pete Domenici Domenici Law Firm 320 Gold Avenue SW, Suite 1000 Albuquerque, NM 87102 Email: pdomenici@domenicilaw.com Counsel for Respondent

Dated: November 7, 2022 Washington, D.C.